1,3-Rearrangements of Allylic Sulphones

Peter Lin and Gordon H. Whitham*

Dyson Perrins Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY, U.K.

Three sets of conditions which promote the 1,3-rearrangement of certain allylic sulphones are described.

There have been scattered reports in the literature which indicate more¹ or less² directly that substituted allylic sul-

phones can undergo 1,3-rearrangement. However the implication is that such rearrangements occur thermally at

relatively high temperature (150 °C and above) and that they are not useful synthetically.

We have become interested in effecting the 1,3-rearrangement of allylic sulphones under controlled conditions since the possibility of performing metallation-alkylation, followed by 1,3-rearrangement, then a further metallation-alkylation $[i.e.\ (1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (4)]$, and finally regioselective reduction of the sulphone (4) would allow, e.g. allyl sulphone, to be used as a reagent corresponding to the allyl dianion synthon (5). The recent publication by Kocienski³ describing 1,3-rearrangement accompanying epoxidation of certain allylic sulphones bearing a substituent at C-2 on treatment with m-chloroperbenzoic acid in aqueous NaHCO₃ prompts us to report our observations.

We have found, depending on the constitution of the allylic sulphone, three types of conditions which can be used to promote 1,3-rearrangement.

Conditions (A), applicable to rearrangement of acyclic allylic sulphones (Ar = p-tolyl) such as (2, R = Me, PhCH₂, CH₂=CHCH₂, or Me₃Si) to the corresponding (3) and of (6, R¹ = R² = Me) and (6, R¹ = Me, R² = Me₃Si) to the corresponding (7), involve heating under reflux in CCl₄ containing (PhCO₂)₂ (5 mol%). Rearrangement is complete after 18 h and isomers (3) are obtained as (E)–(Z) mixtures (Ca. 3:1) with the exception of (3, R = Me₃Si) where only the (E)-isomer was detected. The products (3) and (7) with the more substituted double bond are clearly more stable thermodynamically than their precursors, and we suggest a chain mechanism for the rearrangement involving additionelimination of ArSO_{2*} radicals.

Conditions (B), applicable to rearrangement of cyclohexenyl sulphones (8, $R^2 = p$ -tolyl; $R^1 = Me$, Et, or $PhCH_2$)† to their isomers (9), involve heating (100 °C; 8 h) in AcOH- H_2O (6:4 v/v). Under these conditions acyclic allylic sulphones of types (2) and (6) do not rearrange nor does (8, $R^2 = p$ -tolyl, $R^1 = {}^2H$). We propose a mechanism involving

a fairly tight ion-pair, cf. (10). Apparently the allylic cation

must be both cyclic and have a secondary-tertiary substitution pattern. An ion-pair mechanism is supported by crossover experiments involving rearrangement of (8, R² = p-tolyl, R¹ = Me) in the presence of PhSO₂Na. (8, R² = Bu^{t} , $R^{1} = Me$) rearranges even more readily under solvolytic conditions, i.e. H₂O-tetrahydrofuran (1:1) under reflux or AcOH- H_2O (6:4) at 20 °C to give (9, $R^2 = Bu^t$, $R^1 = Me$). Conditions (C) involve heating (100 °C; 8 h) the allylic sulphone in AcOH-H₂O (6:4) containing ArSO₂Na (up to 7 mol. equiv.). The acyclic sulphones (Ar = p-tolyl) of types (2) and (6) which are unaffected by heating in AcOH-H₂O in the absence of ArSO₂Na, undergo 1,3-rearrangement to (3) and (7) respectively; also (8, $R^2 = p$ -tolyl, $R^1 = {}^2H$) is equilibrated (1:1 mixture) with (9, $R^2 = p$ -tolyl, $R^1 = {}^2H$). We are hesitant at present to suggest a mechanism for the sulphinate induced rearrangement. Possibilities include (a) some sort of nucleophile assisted ion-pair process and (b) an $S_{\rm RN}$ 1 mechanism.^{4,5} However the reaction is not inhibited by m-dinitrobenzene, a known4 radical anion trap. It is possible that Kocienski's rearrangements3 induced by sodium *m*-chloroperbenzoate are related processes.

Received, 7th July 1983; Com. 910

References

- 1 R. D. Baechler, P. Bentley, L. Deuring, and S. Fisk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 23, 2269.
- 2 A. C. Cope, D. E. Morrison, and L. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 56; P. Bickart, F. W. Carson, J. Jacobus, E. G. Miller, and K. Mislow, ibid., 1968, 90, 4869; F. G. Bordwell and G. A. Pagani, ibid., 1975, 97, 118; P. S. Manchand, M. Rosenberger, G. Saucy, P. A. Wehrli, H. Wong, L. Chambers, M. P. Ferro, and W. Jackson, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1976, 59, 387.
- 3 P. Kocienski, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1983, 945.
- 4 N. Kornblum, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1975, 14, 734.
- 5 J. F. Bunnett, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 413.

[†] These sulphones rearrange only very sluggishly under conditions (A).